APPENDIX 8: Scoring Rubric - FY24 OST

Program Description (68 points) Organization History, Leadership and Experience (16 points)				
Unacceptable or Did Not Respond (1 point)	Acceptable (2 points)	Good (3 points) *Competitive Points	Excellent (4 points) □ Did not apply for previous FY24 grant competition	
 □ Does <u>not</u> describe history and mission of organization AND □ Does <u>not</u> describe leadership staff with broad expertise and commitment to youth. AND □ Does <u>not</u> describe organization's approach or philosophy towards youth development 	 □ Describes history and mission of organization OR □ Describes leadership staff with broad expertise and commitment to youth. 	 □ Describes history and mission of organization AND □ Describes leadership staff with broad expertise and commitment to youth. 	□ Details history and mission of organization AND □ Details directors, senior staff, and board members with broad expertise and commitment to youth AND □ Details organization's approach and philosophy in implementing a youth development approach	
□ Does <u>not</u> describe key staff that will deliver the program and manage grant funds	□ Describes key staff that will manage grant funds	□ Describes key staff that will manage grant funds AND □ Provides evidence of success in managing and/or complying with grant requirements	□ Details key staff that will manage grant funds AND □ Provides quantitative evidence of success in managing and complying with grant requirements AND □ Provides qualitative evidence of success in managing and complying with grant requirements	
□ Does <u>not</u> describe how the organization identifies structural racism	□ Describes how the organization identifies structural racism	□ Describes how the organization identifies structural racism AND □ Provides examples of work done by the organization to dismantle structural racism	□ Details how the organization identifies structural racism AND □ Provides examples of work done by the organization to dismantle structural racism within the specific community to be served	

Need and Justification for Program(s) (4 points)				
Unacceptable or	Acceptable	Good	Excellent (4 points)	
Did Not Respond (1 point)	(2 points)	(3 points)		
□ Does <u>not</u> describe the targeted population to be served	□ Describes the targeted population to be served AND □ Describes the targeted population to be served Describes why the organization is proposing to serve this population AND □ Describes how the proposed program waddress the needs of underserved and/or risk" youth		□ Details the targeted population to be served AND □ Details why the organization is proposing to serve this population AND □ Details how the proposed program will address the needs of underserved and/or "atrisk" youth as defined in the RFA	
At-Risk/Underserved Popula	tion(s) to be Served* (32 poi	nts) *Competitive Points		
Acceptable	Good	Great	Excellent	
(1 point)	(2 points)	(3 points)	(4 points)	
□ 1-25% of proposed youth to be served are living in poverty as demonstrated by eligibility for TANF, SNAP and/or Free- and Reduced-priced Meals		□ 50%-74% of proposed youth to be served are living in poverty as demonstrated by eligibility for TANF, SNAP and/or Free- and Reduced-priced Meals	□ 75%-100% of proposed youth to be served are living in poverty as demonstrated by eligibility for TANF, SNAP and/or Free- and Reduced-priced Meals	
□ 1- 25% of proposed youth to be served are students with disabilities	□ 25%-49% of proposed youth to be served are students with disabilities	□ 50%-74% of proposed youth to be served are students with disabilities	□ 75%-100% of proposed youth to be served	
		are students with disabilities	are students with disabilities	
□ 1- 25% of proposed youth to be served are students who are immigrant, migrant and/or English Learners	□ 25%-49% of proposed youth to be served are students who are immigrant, migrant and/or English Learners	□ 50%-74% of proposed youth to be served are students who are immigrant, migrant and/or English Learners	□ 75%-100% of proposed youth to be served are students who are immigrant, migrant and/or English Learners	
students who are immigrant, migrant and/or	are students who are immigrant, migrant	□ 50%-74% of proposed youth to be served are students who are immigrant, migrant	□ 75%-100% of proposed youth to be served are students who are immigrant, migrant	
students who are immigrant, migrant and/or English Learners □ 1- 25% of proposed youth to be served	are students who are immigrant, migrant and/or English Learners □ 25%-49% of proposed youth to be served identify as LGBTQ	□ 50%-74% of proposed youth to be served are students who are immigrant, migrant and/or English Learners □ 50%-74% of proposed youth to be served	□ 75%-100% of proposed youth to be served are students who are immigrant, migrant and/or English Learners □ 75%-100% of proposed youth to be identify	

□ 1- 25% of proposed youth to be served are high school students that are one year older, or more, than the expected age for the grade in which they are enrolled. □ 1- 25% of proposed students to be served reside in wards 5, 7, or 8	□ 25%-49% of proposed youth to be served are high school students that are one year older, or more, than the expected age for the grade in which they are enrolled □ 25%-49% of proposed youth to be served reside in wards 5, 7, or 8	□ 50%-74% of proposed youth to be served are high school students that are one year older, or more, than the expected age for the grade in which they are enrolled □ 50%-74% of proposed youth to be served reside in wards 5, 7, or 8	□ 75%-100% of proposed youth to be served are high school students that are one year older, or more, than the expected age for the grade in which they are enrolled □ 75%-100% of proposed youth to be served reside in wards 5, 7, or 8
Program Design/Services (4	points)		
Unacceptable or Did Not Respond (1 point)	Acceptable (2 points)	Good (3 points)	Excellent (4 points)
□ Does <u>not</u> describe the program(s) and activities provided to youth	□ Describes the program(s) and activities provided to youth	 □ Describes the program(s) activities provided to youth AND □ Describes how the youth experience the program 	□ Details program(s) and a comprehensive array of activities to be provided to youth, including but not limited to academic support, enrichment, social-emotional development, leadership, and sports/recreational services AND Details how program activities will promote youth development/achievement AND □ Details how youth representing the targeted population experience the program AND □ Details the frequency of each activity

Youth Involvement (8 points)				
Unacceptable or Did Not Respond (1 point)	Acceptable (2 points)	Good (3 points)	Excellent (4 points)	
□ Does <u>not</u> describe the strategies the program uses to recruit youth into the program or why recruitment is not an issue	 □ Describes the strategies the program uses to recruit youth into the program OR □ Explains why recruitment is not an issue 	 □ Describes the strategies the program uses to recruit youth into the program OR □ Explains why recruitment is not an issue AND □ Describes successes or challenges encountered with recruitment strategies 	□ Details the strategies the program uses to recruit youth into the program, including how the strategies reach the target population OR □ Explains why recruitment is not an issue AND □ Details if these recruitment strategies have been prievously used, and, if so, describes both the successes and challenges, or, if not, describes the rationale that suggests success	
□ Does <u>not</u> describe the strategies the program uses to retain youth or why retention is not an issue	, , , ,	 □ Describes the strategies the program uses to retain youth into the program OR □ Explains why retention is not an issue AND □ Describes successes or challenges encountered with retention strategies 	□ Details the strategies the program uses to retain youth into the program and how they are aligned with youth development OR □ Explains why recruitment is not an issue AND □ Details if these retention strategies have been prievously used, and, if so, describes both the successes and challenges, or, if not, describes the rationale that suggests success AND □ Details how being retained in the program benefits the youth	

Unacceptable or Did Not Respond (1 point)	Acceptable (2 points)	Good (3 points)	Excellent (4 points)
 □ Does not describe authentic connection to the community served OR □ Does not describe reason for not having an authentic connection to the community 	□ Describes authentic connection to the community served OR □ Provides reasons for not having authentic connection to the community served	□ Describes authentic connection to the community served and provides examples of this connection OR	□ Details authentic connection to the community served and provides examples of this connection AND □ Describes other role the organization has the youth's life either through a connection to the school, family, community, or other system AND □ Describes how the community engagement benefits the youth OR □ Details reasonable rationale for not having other engagement
Budget & Budget Narrative	e (12 points)		
Budget (4 points)			
Unacceptable or Did Not Respond (1 point)	Acceptable (2 points)	Good (3 points)	Excellent (4 points)
□ Does <u>not</u> include budget item details, quantities and cost per unit for all expenses	□ Includes budget item details, quantities and cost per unit for some expenses	 □ Includes budget item details, quantities and cost per unit for all expenses AND □ Some line item expenses are described and justified 	 □ Include budget item details, quantities and cost per unit for some expenses AND □ All line item expenses described and justified, including calculations
Budget Narrative (8 points)			
Unacceptable or Did Not Respond (1 point)	Acceptable (2 points)	Good (3 points)	Excellent (4 points)

TOTAL POINTS		/ 80	
□ The cost per hour is <u>not</u> appropriate AND □ The cost per student is <u>not</u> appropriate	□ The cost per hour is appropriate OR □ The cost per student is appropriate	 □ The cost per hour is appropriate AND □ The cost per student is appropriate 	 □ The cost per hour is appropriate AND □ The cost per student is appropriate AND □ The cost per hour and student are justified
□ Does <u>not</u> describe how the grant funds will be used	□ Describes how the grant funds will be used	□ Describes how the grant funds will be used and justifies costs	 □ Details how the grant funds will be used and justifies costs AND □ All expenses align with program activities and youth development outcomes